

Mr R Griffiths, 30 Swansea Street Swanbourne

On 26 May 2015 Mr Griffiths submitted questions for the Council Meeting held on 26 May 2015 as follows:

Question

Given the extraordinary range of demands being placed on Allen Park and its environs (Hockey, pitches, water polo, Bridge club parking, significant DHA development proposals and consequent encroachment on the bush) will the City refresh and complete the Allen Park Master plan as a matter of Priority?

Answer

The review of the Allen Park Master Plan is scheduled in the Community Strategic Plan to begin in 2017/18. Council will be reviewing the Community Strategic Plan after the Council elections this year. Council may at this time reconsider the priority and timing of the Allan Park Master Plan review.

Mr P Taranto, 29 Lynton Street Swanbourne

On 26 May 2015 Mr Taranto submitted questions for the Council Meeting held on 26 May 2015 as follows:

Question 1

Could Council please advise or explain if it will obligate DHA to comply with ACC State / Council / Zoning / Planning / Development Laws from day 1 of any DHA development activities? If not why?

Answer 1

All applicants for development are required to comply with State and Council planning rules.

Ms E Clapin, 5 Sayer Street Swanbourne

On 26 May 2015, Ms Chapin submitted questions for the Council Meeting held on 26 May 2015 as follows:

Question 1

An enormous amount of both time and money have been invested by Council and by the local community in preserving and enhancing the walk trails and remnant bushland that makes this area so unique. What assurances, if any have DHA given that these natural resources will be protected?

Answer 1

The DHA has not discussed the specific issue of walking trails and remnant bushland with the Council.

Moved – Councillor Hodsdon
Seconded – Councillor James

That the minutes of the Audit and Risk Committee meeting held on 23 April 2015 be received

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY 13/-

Sustainable Nedlands Committee
Circulated to Councillors on 20 April 2015

13 April 2015

Moved – Councillor Shaw
Seconded – Councillor Bins

That the minutes of the Sustainable Nedlands Committee meeting held on 13 April 2015 be received

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY 13/-

NEW ORDER OF BUSINESS

Moved – Hodsdon
Seconded – Porter

That Council bring forward item 14.4 in the agenda for discussion

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY 13/-

14.4 Councillor Horley - Seaward Village Development Project

On the 19 May 2015 Councillor Horley advised she was going to move the following motion at the next Ordinary Meeting of Council to be held on 26 May 2015:

Moved – Councillor Horley
Seconded – Councillor McManus

That the recommended motion put forth by Councillor Horley is adopted with the inclusion of clause 5.

(Printed below for ease of reference)

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY 13/-

Proposed Amendment

Moved – Councillor Hassell
Seconded – Councillor

To include clause 5 as follows:

- “5. Officially approach the Minister for Planning, the Federal Member for Curtin and at least one WA Senior Senator seeking support for a process which ensures that the concerns that have been raised are dealt with fully and fairly.”**

The mover and seconder agreed to incorporate this additional clause into the Substantive Motion.

Moved – Councillor Horley
Seconded – Councillor McManus

Council Resolution

Council:

- 1. Registers its objection to the Improvement Plan process that has been implemented in the Seaward Village Development Project;**
- 2. Considers that normal process should have been followed, involving a Scheme Amendment to the City’s Town Planning Scheme followed by a Development Application;**
- 3. Strongly requests regular formal input by the City in the decision making process regarding the development of the Improvement Plan and Improvement Scheme, including formal membership of the Seaward Village Project Steering Group;**
- 4. Council wishes the following matters to be addressed in the redevelopment:**
 - a. No through traffic from the development along Sayer Street or other existing local roads within the Swanbourne Community;**
 - b. Exclusion of all bushland areas from any development, such that the bushland areas and wildlife corridors are preserved and enhanced;**
 - c. Public Open Space (POS) be increased and developed to a high standard in the consultation with the City;**
 - d. Pedestrian and cycle access involving connectivity between the local community and the POS and Bushland areas within the redevelopment; and**
 - e. Any other potential negative impacts of the redevelopment upon the local Swanbourne Community.**

- 5. Officially approach the Minister for Planning, the Federal Member for Curtin and at least one WA Senior Senator and seeking support for a process which ensures that the concerns that have been raised are dealt with fully and fairly.**

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY 13/-

Motion

Council:

1. Registers its objection to the Improvement Plan process that has been implemented in the Seaward Village Development Project;
2. Considers that normal process should have been followed, involving a Scheme Amendment to the City's Town Planning Scheme followed by a Development Application;
3. Strongly requests regular formal input by the City in the decision making process regarding the development of the Improvement Plan and Improvement Scheme, including formal membership of the Seaward Village Project Steering Group;
4. Council wishes the following matters to be addressed in the redevelopment:
 - a. No through traffic from the development along Sayer Street or other existing local roads within the Swanbourne Community;
 - b. Exclusion of all bushland areas from any development, such that the bushland areas and wildlife corridors are preserved and enhanced;
 - c. Public Open Space (POS) be increased and developed to a high standard in the consultation with the City;
 - d. Pedestrian and cycle access involving connectivity between the local community and the POS and Bushland areas within the redevelopment; and
 - e. Any other potential negative impacts of the redevelopment upon the local Swanbourne Community.

Administration Comment

Initial scoping work for the re-development of Seaward Village has been progressing for some months now, however Council has not been presented with even preliminary plans on which to make comment.

A Seaward Village Project Steering Group has been formed by the applicant (Defence Housing Australia) and had its first workshop with all members on 6 May 2015. This Steering Group has an operational focus and is made up of technical experts across all the relevant disciplines and the City of Nedlands is represented by the Director of Planning & Development and the Manager of Planning.

The Steering Group has no decision making role in terms of land use planning or approval of development applications. Council will have the opportunity to formally comment on the Improvement Plan as part of a consultation process which will then lead to a decision by the decision making authority – the Western Australian Planning Commission.

It is the Improvement Scheme (the development rules) where the Council is likely to want to have the most input however this document and subsequent rules is some way off as the “look and feel” of the development is only in its very early stages as numerous technical issues need to be addressed.

This item was brought forward in the order of business as per resolution on page 14.

14.4 Councillor Horley - Seaward Village Development Project

On the 19 May 2015 Councillor Horley advised she was going to move the following motion at the next Ordinary Meeting of Council to be held on 26 May 2015:

Motion

Council:

1. Registers its objection to the Improvement Plan process that has been implemented in the Seaward Village Development Project;
2. Considers that normal process should have been followed, involving a Scheme Amendment to the City's Town Planning Scheme followed by a Development Application;
3. Strongly requests regular formal input by the City in the decision making process regarding the development of the Improvement Plan and Improvement Scheme, including formal membership of the Seaward Village Project Steering Group;
4. Council wishes the following matters to be addressed in the redevelopment:
 - a. No through traffic from the development along Sayer Street or other existing local roads within the Swanbourne Community;
 - b. Exclusion of all bushland areas from any development, such that the bushland areas and wildlife corridors are preserved and enhanced;
 - c. Public Open Space (POS) be increased and developed to a high standard in the consultation with the City;
 - d. Pedestrian and cycle access involving connectivity between the local community and the POS and Bushland areas within the redevelopment; and
 - e. Any other potential negative impacts of the redevelopment upon the local Swanbourne Community.